

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE **PATENT OPERATIONS**

)

Art Unit:

(Examiner

Applicants:

BADRI et al.

Serial No:

09/763,009

Filed:

February 13, 2001

Title:

METHOD AND DEVICE FOR TRANSMITTING SYMBOLS USING A

PLURALITY OF CARRIERS AND METHOD AND DEVICE FOR

RECEIVING INFORMATION SYMBOLS

Charlotte, North Carolina July 11, 2001

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D. C. 20231

RECEIVED

JUL 1 9 2001

Technology Center 2600

Dear Sir:

Please enter into the file of the subject application the following enclosed document:

SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENT

1. English translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report.

Respectfully submitted,

Ralph H. Dougherty

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 25,851

DOUGHERTY & CLEMENTS LLP 6230 Fairview Road, Suite 400

Charlotte, North Carolina 28210

Telephone: (704) 366-6642

RHD/lic Attachment Attorney's Docket 3104 JUL 1 6 2001 W AIR STATION

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FH990401PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	N See Notifi Preliminary	ication of Transmittal of Internationa Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No. PCT/EP99/02752	International filing date (da. 15 April 1999 (15	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18 August 1998 (18.08.98)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na H04L 27/26	tional classification and IPC					
Applicant FRAUNHOFER-GESELLSCHAF	T ZUR FÖRDERUNG	DER ANGE	WANDTEN FORSCHUNG E.V.			
This international preliminary exam Authority and is transmitted to the applications.	ination report has been problems to Article 3	pared by this	International Preliminary Examining			
2. This REPORT consists of a total of _	5 sheets, includ	ing this cover sh	neet.			
This report is also accompanion been amended and are the base (see Rule 70.16 and Section 6			on, claims and/or drawings which have ctifications made before this Authority he PCT)			
These annexes consist of a tot			RECEIVED			
3. This report contains indications relating	g to the following items:		JUL 1 9 2001			
I Basis of the report	•		Technology Center 2600			
II Priority						
III Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to nove	lty, inventive ste	ep and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of invention						
V Reasoned statement u citations and explanat	nder Article 35(2) with regarding such statements	d to novelty, in	ventive step or industrial applicability;			
VI Certain documents cit	ed		1			
VII Certain defects in the	international application					
VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand	Date of	completion - Su				
23 December 1999 (23.12.	1	completion of the	ember 2000 (10.11.2000)			
lame and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authori	zed officer				
acsimile No.	Telepho	ne No.				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP99/02752

the international application as originally filed. the description, pages 1-3,5,7-12,14-35 as originally filed, pages filed with the demand, pages 4,4a-4b,6,13 filed with the letter of 25 July 2000 (25.07.2000) pages filed with the letter of filed with the letter of filed with the letter of hos. as a originally filed, Nos. filed with the demand, Nos. filed with the letter of hos. 1-37 filed with the letter of filed with the demand, sheets/fig filed with the demand, sheets/fig filed with the letter of filed with the demand, sheets/fig filed with the letter of filed with the letter of filed with the demand, sheets/fig filed with the letter of filed with the let		icle 14 are referred i	on the basis	of (Replacement she	ets which have been furnished to	the receiving Office in response to an invi
the description. pages 1-3,5,7-12,14-35 , as originally filed, pages		•	, , 	— 0. igu.i.y / iieu	una are not annexed to the r	report since they do not contain amendmen
pages	\boxtimes	the internation	al application	as originally filed.		
pages		the description	, pages	1-3,5,7-12,14-35	, as originally filed,	1
the claims, Nos			pages		, filed with the demand,	
the claims, Nos			pages	4,4a-4b,6,13	_, filed with the letter of .	25 July 2000 (25.07.2000)
Nos						
Nos	\Box	the claims,	Nos.		as originally filed	
Nos						- 1Q .
Nos. 1-37 , filed with the letter of 23 December 1999 (23.12.199) the drawings, sheets/fig 1/6-6/6 , as originally filed, sheets/fig , filed with the demand, sheets/fig , filed with the letter of sheets/fig , filed with the letter of sheets/fig , filed with the letter of the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/fig This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).						- 13,
Nos. 1-37 , filed with the letter of 23 December 1999 (23.12.199] the drawings, sheets/fig 1/6-6/6 , as originally filed, sheets/fig , filed with the demand, sheets/fig , filed with the letter of sheets/fig , filed with the letter of amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages						
the drawings, sheets/fig						
sheets/fig, filed with the demand, sheets/fig, filed with the letter of sheets/fig, filed with the letter of amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		Alex described				23 December 1999 (23.12.199
sheets/fig, filed with the letter of	Ш	the drawings,				
sheets/fig, filed with the letter of amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/fig This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).					·	
amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/fig This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			sneets/fig _		_, filed with the letter of _	
amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/fig This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			sheets/fig _		_, filed with the letter of _	
This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).						
This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).						
Rule 70.2(c)).		the claims,	Nos			
	This	the claims, the drawings, report has been es	Nossheets/fig _	f (some of) the am	endments had not been made	. Since they have been considered
	·	the claims, the drawings, report has been es beyond the disclo	sheets/fig _ tablished as i	f (some of) the am	endments had not been made Supplemental Box (Rule 70.	, since they have been considered 2(c)).
	·	the claims, the drawings, report has been es beyond the disclo	sheets/fig _ tablished as i	f (some of) the am	endments had not been made Supplemental Box (Rule 70.	, since they have been considered .2(c)).
	·	the claims, the drawings, report has been es beyond the disclo	sheets/fig _ tablished as i	f (some of) the am	endments had not been made Supplemental Box (Rule 70.	, since they have been considered 2(c)).
	·	the claims, the drawings, report has been es beyond the disclo	sheets/fig _ tablished as i	f (some of) the am	endments had not been made Supplemental Box (Rule 70.	, since they have been considered 2(c)).
	·	the claims, the drawings, report has been es beyond the disclo	sheets/fig _ tablished as i	f (some of) the am	endments had not been made Supplemental Box (Rule 70.	, since they have been considered .2(c)).
	·	the claims, the drawings, report has been es beyond the disclo	sheets/fig _ tablished as i	f (some of) the am	endments had not been made Supplementa! Box (Rule 70.	, since they have been considered .2(c)).
	·	the claims, the drawings, report has been es beyond the disclo	sheets/fig _ tablished as i	f (some of) the am	endments had not been made Supplemental Box (Rule 70.	, since they have been considered .2(c)).
	·	the claims, the drawings, report has been es beyond the disclo	sheets/fig _ tablished as i	f (some of) the am	endments had not been made Supplementa! Box (Rule 70.	, since they have been considered .2(c)).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 99/02752

Reasoned statement under Article citations and explanations supporti	35(2) with regard to noveltying such statement	. inventive step or industrial app	licability;
Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-37	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-37	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-37	YES
	Claims		·NO

2. Citations and explanations

- The subject matter of Claim 1 is novel and involves an inventive step (PCT Article 33(2) and (3)):
- 1.1 Claim 1 pertains to a process for sending information symbols with a plurality of carriers. In the process, transmission symbols are generated from the information symbol and their transmission is deferred.

Such time-diversity processes are generally known, for example from US-A-3 665 395 or DE-A-195 32 959.

- 1.2 The subject matter of Claim 1 goes beyond the prior art in that a constellation enlargement is introduced (mapping diversity) therein. Two transmission symbols are generated from each information symbol to which said transmission symbols are clearly allocated. The transmission symbols differ from each other and from the information symbols.
- 1.3 Such a process is not obvious from the prior art.

According to US-A-3 665 395 and DE-A-195 32 959, the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 99/02752

deferred-transmission symbols are identically constructed. There is no constellation enlargement.

EP-A-0 572 171 discloses a diversity process wherein copies of the same information symbol are varied differently. This process does not concern a constellation enlargement because no clear allocation occurs between information symbols and transmission symbols. The copies of the information symbol are weighted only with different coding functions and therefore can assume all possible values. Furthermore, there is simultaneous rather than deferred transmission of the coded copies.

US-A-4 606 047 also comprises no constellation expansion. The to-be-transmitted signals can assume only two values (0 or 1). During the transmission of a value, the complementary value is transmitted simultaneously through a second channel. That is to say, the same constellation is used.

GB-A-2 291 314 is less relevant because no diversity procedure is disclosed. All information is transmitted only once.

- The above-mentioned conclusion also pertains to Claims 2, 11, 20, and 30, which correspond to Claim 1.
- 3. The dependent claims pertain to special embodiments of the subject matter of the above-mentioned independent claims and are therefore likewise novel and inventive.